

ARIZONANS NEED TO KNOW THAT MARTHA MCSALLY WILL DO OR SAY ANYTHING TO GET ELECTED, WE HAVE SEEN IT BEFORE IN 2018, AND IT'S HAPPENING AGAIN IN 2020

Arizona Republic's EJ Montini: McSally's Claim That She Has "'Always'" Protected People With Pre-Existing Conditions "Sounds Like Empty Election-Year Rhetoric." "The senator, trailing in the polls to political newcomer and former astronaut Mark Kelly, could simply have said that she supports protecting those with preexisting conditions now. She could have said her position on the issue has evolved over time and she is much more committed to protections than she may have appeared to be in the past. But saying that she has 'always' supported such protections sounds like empty election-year rhetoric meant to reach across the raging river that separates political parties." [The Arizona Republic, EJ Montini, [6/26/20](#)]

Arizona Republic: McSally's Focus On Kelly's Ties To China Was "A Return To A Strategy That Failed With Voters In 2018." "McSally has implied Kelly, a former Navy combat pilot, is beholden to Chinese interests. In raising doubts about her opponent's patriotic loyalties, it is a return to a strategy that failed with voters in 2018. In that election, McSally accused Democrat Kyrsten Sinema of condoning 'treason' based on her comment in a 2003 radio interview. McSally, a former Air Force combat pilot, has taken a tough stance over China since arriving at the House of Representatives in 2015. But her flurry of legislative actions amid the pandemic in recent weeks is noticeable." [Arizona Republic, [6/5/20](#)]

POLITICO: "Republicans Privately Worry [McSally's] Repeating Mistakes From The Last Race." "McSally ran a burn-it-all-down general election against Sinema, throwing out attacks at a roaring pace in the ten weeks between her primary and Election Day. But she makes no bones now about already turning negative against Kelly, even as some Republicans privately worry, she's repeating mistakes from the last race. Facing a cash deficit that's likely to grow, McSally said she had to fight early to define the race when she saw the opening." [POLITICO, [3/1/20](#)]

Washington Examiner: Some Republican Strategists Questioned "McSally's Team And Strategy," Said She Had "Yet To Prove She Learned From Previous Mistakes." McSally is not the only Republican incumbent getting pummeled without backup from GOP groups, and some Republican strategists are rebuffing complaints from her allies as misplaced. Others are questioning McSally's team and strategy, saying she has yet to prove she learned from previous mistakes. 'It's absurd to think a party committee should be spending money on TV more than a year out from Election Day,' one GOP strategist said. 'Anyone who does clearly lacks professional knowledge on the subject and shouldn't be anywhere near political campaigns in 2020.'" [Washington Examiner, [10/11/19](#)]

WE'VE SEEN IT BEFORE: IN 2018, INDEPENDENT SOURCES DESCRIBED MCSALLY'S CLAIMS OF PROTECTING PEOPLE WITH PRE-EXISTING CONDITIONS AS "MOSTLY FALSE."

PolitiFact: “Mostly False” That McSally Is “Leading The Fight To Force Insurance Companies To Cover Pre-Existing Conditions.” “McSally claimed she’s ‘leading the fight’ to ‘force insurance companies to cover pre-existing conditions.’ It was the Obama-era Affordable Care Act that forced insurance companies to cover pre-existing conditions. McSally in 2015 voted in favor of a full repeal of the law. The bill directed House committees to offer new proposals, including one that provided people with pre-existing conditions access to affordable health coverage. In 2017, McSally voted for the American Health Care Act, a Republican proposal that kept the Affordable Care Act’s pre-existing conditions coverage. Despite language in the bill to protect people with pre-existing conditions, it included provisions that undermined that coverage and increased premiums for certain people, making insurance unaffordable in some cases, experts said. McSally did support an amendment to help reduce over 5 years increased premiums and out-of-pocket expenses that people with pre-existing conditions might face due to a state waiver allowed in the bill. McSally’s statement contains an element of truth but ignores critical facts that would give a different impression. We rate it Mostly False.” [PolitiFact, [10/30/18](#)]

Washington Post Gave McSally “Three Pinocchios” For Claiming That She Was “Leading The Fight” To Protect Pre-Existing Conditions. “Regarding the McSally ad, it says she was leading the fight – for something people already had under the ACA. [...] McSally also voted for the GOP’s AHCA. Moreover, earlier in her tenure as a member of Congress, she voted to repeal Obama’s ACA without a replacement in effect. Under fire from their Democratic rivals for their votes on health care, McSally and Taylor are misleading voters. The protections for people with preexisting conditions are already pretty strong in the ACA. Both lawmakers cast votes that the CBO said weakened protections against price increases, especially in states that took advantage of waivers in the law. The money contained in the bill to mitigate those problems was inadequate, the CBO concluded. The irony is rich: After years of trashing Obamacare, these Republicans are now saying they will do what he promised he would if elected president. Three Pinocchios.” [Washington Post, [11/01/18](#)]

- **Washington Post: McSally Is “Misleading Voters.”** “Under fire from their Democratic rivals for their votes on health care, McSally and Taylor are misleading voters.” [Washington Post, [11/01/18](#)]

Arizona Republic’s EJ Montini: “Martha McSally Earns Her 4th ‘Mostly False’ Rating From PolitiFact.” [Arizona Republic, EJ Montini, [11/01/18](#)]

NONPARTISAN SOURCES SAY MARTHA MCSALLY IS “MISLEADING” VOTERS WITH DISHONEST TV ATTACK ADS....

Arizona Daily Star: Fact-Checkers Were “Poking Holes” In McSally’s Claims About Kelly’s Ties To World View Enterprises. “U.S. Sen. Martha McSally accuses Democratic opponent Mark Kelly of ‘lining his pockets with taxpayer money’ in a trio of new attack ads, but fact-checkers are already poking holes in some of her claims. The ads launched July 31 feature testimonials from three Southern Arizona residents and focus on Kelly’s ties to World View Enterprises, the high-tech, high-altitude balloon company he helped found in 2013.” [Arizona Daily Star, [8/8/20](#)]

- **Arizona Daily Star: The McSally Ads’ “Claim About Jobs Is Also Misleading.”** “According to John Moffatt, economic development director for the county, World View had made all of its scheduled lease payments through April, when the company was granted a six-month deferral by the county as a result of the pandemic and economic downturn. Moffatt said the claim about jobs is also misleading.” [Arizona Daily Star, [8/8/20](#)]

PolitiFact Rated McSally's Ad Claiming She Protects People With Pre-Existing Conditions "False." "In her new TV ad, McSally claims she will 'always protect those with preexisting conditions.' But nothing in her voting record, which tracks closely with the Republican repeal-and-replace philosophy, supports this claim. And she has continually declined opportunities to oppose a pending legal threat to the ACA, including its provisions related to preexisting conditions, by a group of GOP governors and supported by the Trump administration. Meanwhile, the legislation her campaign cited to justify her stance falls short in terms of meaningfully protecting Americans with preexisting medical conditions. McSally has not in the past or present taken actions that back up her statement. We rate it False." [PolitiFact, [6/25/20](#)]

The Washington Post Gave McSally's Claim That She Protects People With Pre-Existing Conditions "Four Pinocchios." "Voters deserve straight answers when their health care is on the line, especially in the middle of a deadly pandemic. Daines, Gardner and McSally have voted to end the Affordable Care Act. People with preexisting conditions would have been left exposed because of those votes; insurers could have denied coverage or jacked up prices for sick patients. The three senators’ comments about the GOP lawsuit are woefully vague, but they can all be interpreted as tacit support. Asked about the case, a Daines spokesperson said 'whatever mechanism' to get rid of the ACA would do. McSally’s campaign 'didn’t specifically answer, but pointed to her general disapproval of the ACA.' Gardner avoided the question six times in one interview, but in another, he said: “That’s the court’s decision. If the Democrats want to stand for an unconstitutional law, I guess that’s their choice.” Four Pinocchios all around." [The Washington Post, [7/15/20](#)]

- **The Washington Post: McSally's Comments About The GOP Lawsuit "Woefully Vague."** "The three senators’ comments about the GOP lawsuit are woefully vague, but they can all be interpreted as tacit support. Asked about the case, a Daines spokesperson said 'whatever mechanism' to get rid of the ACA would do. McSally’s campaign 'didn’t specifically answer, but pointed to her general disapproval of the ACA.' Gardner avoided the question six times in one interview, but in another, he said: “That’s the court’s decision. If the Democrats want to stand for an unconstitutional law, I guess that’s their choice.” Four Pinocchios all around." [The Washington Post, [7/15/20](#)]
- **The Washington Post: McSally's Support For Pre-Existing Conditions Is A "Classic Case Of Buyer Beware."** "'Steve Daines will protect Montanans with preexisting conditions.' — Campaign ad from Sen. Steve Daines (R-Mont.) 'Of course I will always protect those with preexisting conditions. Always.' — Campaign ad from Sen. Martha McSally (R-Ariz.) 'What I look forward to working on is a plan that protects people with

preexisting conditions.' — Sen. Cory Gardner (R-Colo.), in an interview with Colorado Public Radio, July 1, 2020. Sound familiar? Just like President Trump, these Republican senators say they support coverage guarantees for patients with preexisting health conditions. And just like Trump, their records show the opposite. The president's doublespeak — voicing support for these protections while asking the Supreme Court to strike them down — is spreading into some battleground Senate races this year. It's a classic case of buyer beware: Look under the hood of what Daines, Gardner and McSally are selling, and you'll find a car without an engine." [The Washington Post, [7/15/20](#)]

- **HEADLINE: "GOP Senators In Close Races Mislead On Preexisting Conditions."** [The Washington Post, [7/15/20](#)]

THAT INCLUDE "INACCURACIES"....

HEADLINE: "Fact-Checkers Find Inaccuracies In McSally Attack Ads Against Opponent Kelly" [Arizona Daily Star, [8/8/20](#)]

AND "IGNORE CRITICAL FACTS"...

PolitiFact: McSally's Attack On Mark Kelly "Ignores Critical Facts." "In the competitive Arizona Senate race, Republican Sen. Martha McSally is taking aim at Democratic opponent Mark Kelly, claiming that he's a hypocrite who attacked a loan program to help businesses during the pandemic and then took money from the program for his own company. [...] McSally's statement contains an element of truth but ignores critical facts that would give a different impression. We rate it Mostly False." [PolitiFact, [8/06/20](#)]

ONE OPINION COLUMNIST CALLED MCSALLY'S ADS "BASICALLY A LIE"

Arizona Daily Star's Tim Steller: McSally's Claim That Kelly Pocketed \$15 Million Through World View Was "Basically A Lie." "Did @CaptMarkKelly really 'pocket \$15 million' in a Pima County economic-development deal, as @SenMcSallyAZ says in recent ads. No, it's basically a lie." [Twitter, @senyorreporter, [8/8/20](#)]

AND IN 2020, AS IN 2018, MCSALLY IS FALSELY CLAIMING TO PROTECT PEOPLE WITH PRE-EXISTING CONDITIONS

2020: PolitiFact Rated McSally's Ad Claiming She Protects People With Pre-Existing Conditions "False." "In her new TV ad, McSally claims she will 'always protect those with preexisting conditions.' But nothing in her voting record, which tracks closely with the Republican repeal-and-replace philosophy, supports this claim. And she has continually declined opportunities to oppose a pending legal threat to the ACA, including its provisions related to preexisting conditions, by a group of GOP governors and supported by the Trump administration. Meanwhile, the legislation her campaign cited to justify her stance falls short in terms of meaningfully protecting Americans with preexisting medical conditions. McSally has

not in the past or present taken actions that back up her statement. We rate it False." [PolitiFact, [6/25/20](#)]

2018: PolitiFact: “Mostly False” That McSally Is “Leading The Fight To Force Insurance Companies To Cover Pre-Existing Conditions.” “McSally claimed she’s ‘leading the fight’ to ‘force insurance companies to cover pre-existing conditions.’ It was the Obama-era Affordable Care Act that forced insurance companies to cover pre-existing conditions. McSally in 2015 voted in favor of a full repeal of the law. The bill directed House committees to offer new proposals, including one that provided people with pre-existing conditions access to affordable health coverage. In 2017, McSally voted for the American Health Care Act, a Republican proposal that kept the Affordable Care Act’s pre-existing conditions coverage. Despite language in the bill to protect people with pre-existing conditions, it included provisions that undermined that coverage and increased premiums for certain people, making insurance unaffordable in some cases, experts said. McSally did support an amendment to help reduce over 5 years increased premiums and out-of-pocket expenses that people with pre-existing conditions might face due to a state waiver allowed in the bill. McSally’s statement contains an element of truth but ignores critical facts that would give a different impression. We rate it Mostly False.” [PolitiFact, [10/30/18](#)]

WHEN SHE HAS REPEATEDLY VOTED TO UNDERMINE OR ELIMINATE THOSE PROTECTIONS

2015: McSally Voted For A Full Repeal Of The ACA. In February 2015, McSally voted to repeal the ACA. “The House voted ... to abolish the 2010 health care law in Congress’ first repeal vote of the year ... The House has voted more than 50 times to roll back all or portions of the law.” The bill passed 239 to 186. [HR 596, [Vote #58](#), 2/03/15; CQ News, [2/03/15](#)]

- **Politifact Argued That McSally’s Votes In 2015 And 2017 Would Undermined Or Eliminate Coverage Protections For Preexisting Conditions.** “Only one national law makes sure people with preexisting medical conditions don’t face discrimination or higher prices from insurers. It’s the Affordable Care Act. Both as a member of the House of Representatives and as a senator, McSally has supported efforts to undo the health law — voting in 2015 to repeal it and in 2017 to replace it with the Republican-backed American Health Care Act, which would have permitted insurers to charge higher premiums for people with complicated medical histories.” [Politifact, [6/22/20](#)]

2017: McSally Voted For The American Health Care Act (AHCA). In May 2017, McSally voted for: “Passage of the bill that would make extensive changes to the 2010 health care overhaul law, by effectively repealing the individual and employer mandates as well as most of the taxes that finance the current system. It would, in 2020, convert Medicaid into a capped entitlement that would provide fixed federal payments to states and end additional federal funding for the 2010 law’s joint federal-state Medicaid expansion. It would prohibit federal funding to any entity, such as Planned Parenthood, that performs abortions and receives more than \$350 million a year in Medicaid funds. As amended, it would give states the option of receiving federal Medicaid funding as a block grant with greater state flexibility in how the funds are used, and would require states to establish their own essential health benefits standards. It

would allow states to receive waivers to exempt insurers from having to provide certain minimum benefits, would provide \$8 billion over five years for individuals with pre-existing conditions whose insurance premiums increased because the state was granted a waiver to raise premiums based on an individual's health status, and would create a \$15 billion federal risk sharing program to cover some of the costs of high medical claims.” The bill passed, 217-213. [H.R. 1628, [Vote #256](#), 5/4/17; CQ, [5/4/17](#)]

- **PolitiFact Found That AHCA “Would Weaken Protections” For Those With Pre-Existing Conditions, “Would Allow States To Give Insurers The Power To Charge People Significantly More.”** In May 2017, PolitiFact reported: “An ad by the American Action Network says that under the American Health Care Act ‘people with pre-existing conditions are protected.’ The only kernel of truth here is that the amendment has language that states insurers can’t limit access to coverage for individuals with pre-existing conditions. However, the ad omits that the House GOP health plan would weaken protections for these patients. The legislation would allow states to give insurers the power to charge people significantly more if they had a pre-existing condition. While Republicans point to the fact that those patients could get help through high-risk pools, experts question their effectiveness. Current law does not allow states to charge people with pre-existing conditions significantly more. We rate this claim Mostly False.” [PolitiFact, [5/24/17](#)]

THAT’S WHY INDEPENDENT SOURCES HAVE DETERMINED THAT MCSALLY’S CLAIMS ABOUT PROTECTING PEOPLE WITH PRE-EXISTING CONDITIONS ARE “FALSE” AND “MISLEAD[ING].”

HEADLINE: "GOP Senators In Close Races Mislead On Preexisting Conditions." [The Washington Post, [7/15/20](#)]

PolitiFact Rated McSally's Ad Claiming She Protects People With Pre-Existing Conditions "False." "In her new TV ad, McSally claims she will 'always protect those with preexisting conditions.' But nothing in her voting record, which tracks closely with the Republican repeal-and-replace philosophy, supports this claim. And she has continually declined opportunities to oppose a pending legal threat to the ACA, including its provisions related to preexisting conditions, by a group of GOP governors and supported by the Trump administration. Meanwhile, the legislation her campaign cited to justify her stance falls short in terms of meaningfully protecting Americans with preexisting medical conditions. McSally has not in the past or present taken actions that back up her statement. We rate it False." [PolitiFact, [6/25/20](#)]

WHILE HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF ARIZONANS ARE LOSING THEIR JOBS AND THEIR EMPLOYER-PROVIDED HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE DUE TO THE PANDEMIC

631,000 Unemployment Claims Were Filed In Arizona Between March 8 And June 22. “From March 8, to June 22, there have been 631,000 unduplicated initial unemployment insurance claims, including those determined ineligible, DES spokesman Brett Bezio said

Monday. Since March 8, 308,000 Arizonans have received unemployment insurance benefits.”
 [AZ Republic, [6/23/20](#)]

According To A Study By The National Center For Coverage Innovation, 47,000 Arizonans Have Lost Their Health Insurance Due To Job Losses Between February And May 2020.
 [Families USA, [7/17/20](#)]

Arizona Republic: In A Country Where Health Insurance Is Largely Tied To Employment, The Staggering Unemployment Numbers Mean Many Have Now Lost Health Coverage.”
 “Millions of workers across the country have lost their jobs as the economy tanked because of the continued spread of COVID-19 and subsequent social distancing orders that closed businesses. In Arizona, tens of thousands have flocked to the state's unemployment website to sign up for benefits. In a country where health insurance is largely tied to employment, the staggering unemployment numbers mean many have now lost health coverage during a pandemic.” [Arizona Republic, [4/06/20](#)]

- **The Kaiser Family Foundation Estimated That 27 Million Americans Nationally Could Lose Employer Sponsored Insurance And Become Uninsured As A Result Of Job Loss.** “We estimate that, as of May 2nd, 2020, nearly 27 million people could potentially lose ESI and become uninsured following job loss (Figure 1). This total includes people who lost their own ESI and those who lost dependent coverage when a family member lost a job and ESI. Additionally, some people who otherwise would lose ESI are able to retain job-based coverage by switching to a plan offered to a family member: we estimate that 19 million people switch to coverage offered by the employer of a working spouse or parent. A very small number of people who lose ESI (1.6 million) also had another source of coverage at the same time (such as Medicare) and retain that other coverage. These coverage loss estimates are based on our assumptions about who likely filed for UI as of May 2nd, 2020 and the availability of other ESI options in their family.” [Kaiser Family Foundation, [5/13/20](#)]

MCSALLY TOOK OVER \$150,000 IN CORPORATE PAC CONTRIBUTIONS FROM BIG INSURANCE AND DRUG COMPANIES

HEALTH INSURANCE COMPANY	RECIPIENT	RECEIPT DATE	AMOUNT
BLUEPAC - BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD ASSOCIATION PAC	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	3/30/20	\$1,500
AFLAC POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE (AFLAC PAC)	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	3/30/20	\$5,000
CIGNA CORPORATION POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	3/5/20	\$5,000
BLUEPAC - BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD ASSOCIATION PAC	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	12/31/19	\$1,000

AFLAC POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE (AFLAC PAC)	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	12/31/19	\$1,500
UNITEDHEALTH GROUP INCORPORATED PAC (UNITEDHEALTH GROUP PAC)	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	11/4/19	\$2,500
CIGNA CORPORATION POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	9/30/19	\$5,000
UNITEDHEALTH GROUP INCORPORATED PAC (UNITEDHEALTH GROUP PAC)	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	6/30/19	\$2,500
AFLAC POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE (AFLAC PAC)	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	5/28/19	\$1,000
BLUEPAC - BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD ASSOCIATION PAC	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	5/7/19	\$1,000
AFLAC POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE (AFLAC PAC)	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	3/31/19	\$2,500
BLUEPAC - BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD ASSOCIATION PAC	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	8/24/18	\$4,000
AFLAC POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE (AFLAC PAC)	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	7/5/18	\$1,000
AFLAC POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE (AFLAC PAC)	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	7/5/18	\$1,500
AFLAC POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE (AFLAC PAC)	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	12/29/17	\$2,500
AFLAC POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE (AFLAC PAC)	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	12/29/17	\$2,500
BLUEPAC - BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD ASSOCIATION PAC	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	10/25/17	\$1,000
BLUEPAC - BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD ASSOCIATION PAC	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	10/25/17	\$1,000
AETNA INC. POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	9/30/17	\$2,500
AFLAC POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE (AFLAC PAC)	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	9/8/17	\$1,000
ANTHEM, INC. POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE (ANTHEM PAC)	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	9/30/16	\$2,500
UNITEDHEALTH GROUP INCORPORATED PAC (UNITED FOR HEALTH)	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	9/22/16	\$2,000
BLUEPAC - BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD ASSOCIATION PAC	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	8/18/16	\$1,500
AFLAC POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE (AFLAC PAC)	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	6/30/16	\$1,000

HUMANA INC. POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	6/30/16	\$1,000
HEALTH NET, INCORPORATED POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	3/31/16	\$1,000
HUMANA INC. POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	2/22/16	\$1,000
CHUBB GROUP HOLDINGS INC. LEGACY POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	10/20/15	\$2,500
AFLAC POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE (AFLAC PAC)	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	6/30/15	\$1,000
HEALTH NET, INCORPORATED POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	6/10/15	\$1,000
HEALTH NET, INCORPORATED POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	2/9/15	\$1,000
TOTAL			\$61,000

[FEC, McSally for Senate, McSally for Congress, accessed [6/11/20](#)]

McSally Has Taken \$95,500 From Pharma Companies And Distributors.

Contributor name	Recipient	Election	State	Receipt date	Amount
JOHNSON & JOHNSON POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	PRIMARY	DC	6/29/20	\$1,000
CVS HEALTH PAC	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	GENERAL	DC	4/3/20	\$2,500
CVS HEALTH PAC	THUNDERBOLT PAC	PRIMARY	DC	4/3/20	\$5,000
PFIZER INC. PAC	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	GENERAL	NY	3/30/20	\$2,500
PFIZER INC. PAC	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	PRIMARY	NY	3/30/20	\$2,500
AMGEN INC. POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	GENERAL	DC	12/31/19	\$1,000
AMGEN INC. POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	PRIMARY	DC	12/31/19	\$2,000
JOHNSON & JOHNSON POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	PRIMARY	DC	12/31/19	\$1,000
AMERISOURCEBERGEN CORPORATION POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE (ABC PAC)	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	PRIMARY	PA	12/23/19	\$1,000
PFIZER INC. PAC	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	PRIMARY	NY	12/10/19	\$2,500
AMGEN INC. POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	PRIMARY	DC	11/7/19	\$1,000

CVS HEALTH PAC	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	GENERAL	DC	9/30/19	\$2,500
CVS HEALTH PAC	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	PRIMARY	DC	9/30/19	\$1,500
CARDINAL HEALTH INC. PAC AKA CARDINAL HEALTH COMPANIES PAC	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	PRIMARY	OH	9/30/19	\$2,500
CVS HEALTH PAC	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	PRIMARY	DC	6/28/19	\$2,500
ROCHE DIAGNOSTICS CORPORATION PAC (ROCHE DX PAC)	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	GENERAL	NJ	6/28/19	\$1,000
MCKESSON CORPORATION EMPLOYEES POLITICAL FUND	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	PRIMARY	CA	6/27/19	\$1,500
CARDINAL HEALTH INC. PAC AKA CARDINAL HEALTH COMPANIES PAC	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	PRIMARY	OH	6/10/19	\$2,500
NOVO NORDISK INC. PAC (NOVO NORDISK PAC)	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	PRIMARY	DC	5/21/19	\$1,500
AMGEN INC. POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	PRIMARY	DC	5/17/19	\$2,000
GENENTECH INC. POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	PRIMARY	CA	5/7/19	\$5,000
CVS HEALTH PAC	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	PRIMARY	DC	3/31/19	\$1,000
CSL EMPLOYEES POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	GENERAL	PA	10/10/18	\$1,000
CARDINAL HEALTH INC. PAC AKA CARDINAL HEALTH COMPANIES PAC	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	GENERAL	OH	9/30/18	\$2,500
EXPRESS SCRIPTS INC. POLITICAL FUND (A/K/A EXPRESS SCRIPTS PAC)	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	GENERAL	DC	9/4/18	\$4,000
ASTELLAS US LLC PAC (ASTELLAS PAC)	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	PRIMARY	IL	12/29/17	\$1,000
ASTELLAS US LLC PAC (ASTELLAS PAC)	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	PRIMARY	IL	12/29/17	\$1,000
EXPRESS SCRIPTS INC. POLITICAL FUND (A/K/A EXPRESS SCRIPTS PAC)	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	PRIMARY	DC	11/30/17	\$1,500
EXPRESS SCRIPTS INC. POLITICAL FUND (A/K/A EXPRESS SCRIPTS PAC)	MCSALLY FOR SENATE INC	PRIMARY	DC	11/30/17	\$1,500
WALGREEN CO PAC	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	PRIMARY	IL	10/11/17	\$1,000
EXPRESS SCRIPTS INC. POLITICAL FUND (A/K/A EXPRESS SCRIPTS PAC)	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	PRIMARY	DC	6/26/17	\$2,500

BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB CO. EMPLOYEE POLITICAL ADVOCACY FUND FOR INNOVATION	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	PRIMARY	NJ	5/31/17	\$1,000
PFIZER INC. PAC	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	PRIMARY	NY	5/31/17	\$1,000
ELI LILLY AND COMPANY POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	PRIMARY	IN	3/31/17	\$2,500
MERCK & CO., INC., EMPLOYEES POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE (MERCK PAC)	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	PRIMARY	DC	3/31/17	\$1,000
NOVO NORDISK INC. PAC (NOVO NORDISK PAC)	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	PRIMARY	DC	3/31/17	\$1,500
SANOFI US SERVICES INC. EMPLOYEES' POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	PRIMARY	NJ	3/31/17	\$1,000
EXPRESS SCRIPTS INC. POLITICAL FUND (A/K/A EXPRESS SCRIPTS PAC)	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	PRIMARY	DC	3/3/17	\$1,000
ELI LILLY AND COMPANY POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	GENERAL	IN	6/20/16	\$1,000
ELI LILLY AND COMPANY POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	PRIMARY	IN	6/20/16	\$1,500
ELI LILLY AND COMPANY POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	PRIMARY	IN	5/5/16	\$2,500
EXPRESS SCRIPTS INC. POLITICAL FUND (A/K/A EXPRESS SCRIPTS PAC)	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	GENERAL	DC	3/31/16	\$1,500
CARDINAL HEALTH INC. PAC AKA CARDINAL HEALTH COMPANIES PAC	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	PRIMARY	OH	2/29/16	\$2,000
EXPRESS SCRIPTS INC. POLITICAL FUND (A/K/A EXPRESS SCRIPTS PAC)	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	PRIMARY	DC	12/7/15	\$2,500
ELI LILLY AND COMPANY POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	PRIMARY	IN	9/30/15	\$1,000
SANOFI US SERVICES INC. EMPLOYEES' POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	PRIMARY	NJ	9/30/15	\$2,500
GLAXOSMITHKLINE LLC PAC (GSK PAC)	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	PRIMARY	NC	6/30/15	\$1,000
SANOFI US SERVICES INC. EMPLOYEES' POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	PRIMARY	NJ	5/11/15	\$2,500
EXPRESS SCRIPTS INC. POLITICAL FUND (A/K/A EXPRESS SCRIPTS PAC)	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	PRIMARY	DC	3/31/15	\$1,000
EXPRESS SCRIPTS INC. POLITICAL FUND (A/K/A EXPRESS SCRIPTS PAC)	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	PRIMARY	DC	3/30/15	\$1,000

EXPRESS SCRIPTS INC. POLITICAL FUND (A/K/A EXPRESS SCRIPTS PAC)	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	GENERAL	DC	9/18/14	\$2,500
EXPRESS SCRIPTS INC. POLITICAL FUND (A/K/A EXPRESS SCRIPTS PAC)	MCSALLY FOR CONGRESS	PRIMARY	DC	8/6/14	\$2,500
TOTAL					\$95,500

[FEC, McSally for Senate, McSally for Congress, Thunderbolt PAC, accessed 8/13/20]

MCSALLY IS STANDING BY HER PARTY'S PLAN THAT WOULD INCREASE PREMIUMS....

2017: McSally Voted For The American Health Care Act (AHCA). In May 2017, McSally voted for: “Passage of the bill that would make extensive changes to the 2010 health care overhaul law, by effectively repealing the individual and employer mandates as well as most of the taxes that finance the current system. It would, in 2020, convert Medicaid into a capped entitlement that would provide fixed federal payments to states and end additional federal funding for the 2010 law's joint federal-state Medicaid expansion. It would prohibit federal funding to any entity, such as Planned Parenthood, that performs abortions and receives more than \$350 million a year in Medicaid funds. As amended, it would give states the option of receiving federal Medicaid funding as a block grant with greater state flexibility in how the funds are used, and would require states to establish their own essential health benefits standards. It would allow states to receive waivers to exempt insurers from having to provide certain minimum benefits, would provide \$8 billion over five years for individuals with pre-existing conditions whose insurance premiums increased because the state was granted a waiver to raise premiums based on an individual's health status, and would create a \$15 billion federal risk sharing program to cover some of the costs of high medical claims.” The bill passed, 217-213. [H.R. 1628, [Vote #256](#), 5/4/17; CQ, [5/4/17](#)]

- **Politifact Claimed That AHCA Would Weaken Protections For People With Preexisting Conditions, Allowing Insurance Companies To Charge Them “Significantly More.”** “Pittenger said that the Republican AHCA health care plan ‘does not eliminate protections for pre-existing conditions.’ While insurers technically would still be required to offer coverage to people with pre-existing conditions, the AHCA would weaken protections for those people. Insurers would be able to charge people significantly more if they had a pre-existing condition like heart disease, cancer, diabetes or arthritis – possibly requiring people to pay thousands of dollars extra every year to remain insured. We rate this claim Mostly False.” [Politifact, [5/4/17](#)]
- **Under AHCA Premiums For 60- To 64-Year-Olds Would Increase By An Average Of \$3,200.** “Significantly increasing premiums for older adults would only marginally lower costs for younger adults. The Joint Economic Committee paper incorrectly claims that weakening the limit on age rating to a 5-to-1 ratio would not penalize any age group. The burden of such a policy change would actually fall heavily on older adults, according to Milliman research commissioned by AARP. Under the AHCA: Average premiums would increase for all ages starting at about age 46. Premiums for 60- to 64-year-olds

would increase by an average of \$3,200, amounting to average unsubsidized premiums of almost \$18,000 per year. Meanwhile, 20- to 29-year-olds are expected to see significantly smaller average savings, of only \$700 per year, giving them average unsubsidized premiums of \$4,010 per year.” [AARP, [5/4/17](#)]

ELIMINATE PRE-EXISTING CONDITION COVERAGE PROTECTIONS...

2015: McSally Voted For A Full Repeal Of The ACA. In February 2015, McSally voted to repeal the ACA. “The House voted ... to abolish the 2010 health care law in Congress’ first repeal vote of the year ... The House has voted more than 50 times to roll back all or portions of the law.” The bill passed 239 to 186. [HR 596, [Vote #58](#), 2/03/15; CQ News, [2/03/15](#)]

2017: McSally Voted For The American Health Care Act (AHCA). In May 2017, McSally voted for: “Passage of the bill that would make extensive changes to the 2010 health care overhaul law, by effectively repealing the individual and employer mandates as well as most of the taxes that finance the current system. It would, in 2020, convert Medicaid into a capped entitlement that would provide fixed federal payments to states and end additional federal funding for the 2010 law's joint federal-state Medicaid expansion. It would prohibit federal funding to any entity, such as Planned Parenthood, that performs abortions and receives more than \$350 million a year in Medicaid funds. As amended, it would give states the option of receiving federal Medicaid funding as a block grant with greater state flexibility in how the funds are used, and would require states to establish their own essential health benefits standards. It would allow states to receive waivers to exempt insurers from having to provide certain minimum benefits, would provide \$8 billion over five years for individuals with pre-existing conditions whose insurance premiums increased because the state was granted a waiver to raise premiums based on an individual's health status, and would create a \$15 billion federal risk sharing program to cover some of the costs of high medical claims.” The bill passed, 217-213. [H.R. 1628, [Vote #256](#), 5/4/17; CQ, [5/4/17](#)]

- **The Congressional Budget Office Predicted That Under AHCA, People With Pre-Existing Conditions Would Ultimately Be Unable To Purchase Health Insurance.** According to the Congressional Budget Office analysis of the American Health Care Act, “CBO and JCT expect that, as a consequence, the waivers in those states would have another effect: Community-rated premiums would rise over time, and people who are less healthy (including those with preexisting or newly acquired medical conditions) would ultimately be unable to purchase comprehensive nongroup health insurance at premiums comparable to those under current law, if they could purchase it at all—despite the additional funding that would be available under H.R. 1628 to help reduce premiums.” - CBO Analysis of the American Health Care Act, [5/24/17](#)]

AND THREATEN COVERAGE FOR AN ESTIMATED 300,000 ARIZONANS

Urban Institute: If The Affordable Care Act Were Repealed Or Invalidated By Court, An Additional 297,000 Arizonans Would Become Uninsured. [Urban Institute, [3/19](#)]

Urban Institute: Between 2010 And 2015, 391,000 Arizonans Became Insured In Conjunction With The Affordable Care Act. [Urban Institute, [12/16](#)]